## **REMARKS**

Consideration of the above-identified application in view of the preceding amendments and following remarks is respectfully requested. By this Amendment, Applicant has amended the title to the invention, has replaced the previously submitted specification with a substitute specification and has cancelled claim 1 and added new claims 2-7. It is respectfully submitted that no new matter has been introduced by these amendments, as support therefor is found throughout the specification and drawings.

In the Office Action, the Examiner objected to specification because the Detailed Description section did not describe the details of Fig. 1 and the title of invention was not descriptive. Applicant has submitted a substitute specification which corrects the informal deficiencies identified by the Examiner and also places the specification within the format recommended by the Code of Federal Regulations. Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that objections to the specification noted by the Examiner have been obviated and an action acknowledging the same is respectfully requested.

In the Office Action, claim 1 was objected to by the Examiner as not being in the format suggested by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. Applicant has cancelled claim 1 and added new claims 2-7 which have been written in the proper format. Therefore, withdrawal of the Examiner's objection to the claims is believed to be obviated and an action acknowledging the same is requested.

The Examiner rejected claim 1, under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim what Applicant regards as the invention. More particularly, the Examiner rejected claim 1 on the grounds that the structure of the optical device is not recited clearly. Applicant has cancelled claim 1 and added new claims 2-7 which more clearly define the structure of the optical device of the present invention. Therefore, withdrawal of the Examiner's reject to claim 1 is believed

to be obviated and an action acknowledging the same is requested.

Claim 1 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,834,525 to Vansaghi. Vansaghi discloses a visual aid device for use by a wearer. The device includes a spectacle frame (e.g., eyeglasses) with a pair of carrier lenses mounted on the spectacle frame, each of the lenses having an aperture for receiving a bushing which protrudes through the lens. A bushing is fixedly mounted in each aperture at a predetermined inclination and declination. A quick release mount within each bushing allows the bushings to receive a variety of interchangeable optical devices.

As noted above, Applicant has cancelled claim 1 and added new claims 2-7. Therefore, the rejection of claim 1 has been obviated. Applicant notes that present invention, as recited in claims 2-7 is distinguishable from the visual aid device disclosed in Vansaghi. Vansaghi does not teach, suggest or disclose a device for attachment to a optical instrument which includes, a ring adapted and configured for receiving a corrective lens within an inner diameter thereof, a corrective lens disposed with the inner diameter of the ring, wherein the corrective lens has been configured in accordance with an individuals ophthalmic prescription, and a means for attaching the ring to a lens of an optical instrument whereby a visually impaired individual can use the optical instrument without the need for glasses. Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that new claims 2-7 are allowable and an action acknowleging the same is repectfully requested.

Any additional fees or overpayments due as a result of filing the present paper may be applied to Deposit Account No. 04-1105. It is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now remaining in this application, namely Claims 2-7, are in condition for allowance, and such action is earnestly solicited.

If after reviewing this amendment, the Examiner believes that a telephone interview would facilitate the resolution of any remaining matters the undersigned attorney may be contacted at the number set forth herein below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: November <u>22</u>, 2004

David J. Silvia, Reg. No. 49,036 Intellectual Property Practice Group

EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP

P.O. Box 55874 Boston, MA 02205

(203) 353-6839

(888) 325-1560 (FAX)